

**MAYOR AND COMMISSIONERS MEETING  
CITY OF REHOBOTH BEACH**

**June 7, 2010**

The Workshop Meeting of the Mayor and Commissioners of the City of Rehoboth Beach, was called to order at 9:03 a.m. by Mayor Samuel R. Cooper on Monday, June 7, 2010 in the Commissioners Room in City Hall, 229 Rehoboth Avenue, Rehoboth Beach, DE.

**ROLL CALL**

Present:     Commissioner   Bill Sargent  
              Commissioner   Pat Coluzzi  
              Commissioner   Kathy McGuiness  
              Mayor            Samuel R. Cooper  
              Commissioner   Stan Mills  
              Commissioner   Lorraine Zellers

Absent:     Commissioner   Dennis Barbour

Also in attendance was:     City Manager Gregory Ferrese  
                                  City Solicitor Glenn Mandalas

**CORRESPONDENCE**

There was no general correspondence.

**OLD BUSINESS**

Mayor Cooper called to discuss increasing City revenues to include possibly raising the current gross receipts tax on rental cottages and houses and the possibility of extension of gross receipts taxes to hotels/motels and commercial rentals.

Correspondence:

1. Letter dated June 4, 2010 from Carol Everhart, President/CEO of Rehoboth Beach/Dewey Beach Chamber of Commerce, regarding that its Downtown Advisory Committee had held a public meeting to discuss potential revenue streams for the City of Rehoboth's 2011 budget.
2. Letter from Rehoboth Beach Main Street Board Members and Executive Director Jenny Barger, regarding City revenue opportunities.

Commissioner Stan Mills said that at his request, the Downtown Advisory Committee held the meeting. The suggestions were made by business members and residents at that meeting; and did not necessarily reflect support by the Chamber Board. Commissioner Mills noted that the Commissioners are deficient in knowing exactly how much revenue the City will need for next year, and this discussion is to identify possible sources of revenue. He would like to see the monies taken away from the Water Department in the amount of \$45,000.00 for a building addition and the Public Works Department in the amount of \$100,000.00 for a garage, to be put back in the budget at some point. In moving forward, Commissioner Mills would like to see monies allocated for more seasonal and year-round laborers needed in the Public Works Department to do a more efficient job with refuse pickup, etc. He would like to see the Commissioners continue with the program of the transfer taxes by possibly reducing it incrementally, such as another 10%, and having the monies go to a capital improvement fund.

Commissioner Pat Coluzzi was concerned about staffing in particular with the IT Department and perhaps the Building & Licensing Department; but she did not know for sure that they could use more staff. Commissioner Lorraine Zellers said that the Commissioners should talk to the heads of those departments to see where the money is going.

Commissioner Bill Sargent said that the City has a balanced budget this year; and approximately \$1,000,000 has been provided for capital improvements. He would like to think that the income next year will be close enough to what has been planned for this year, so the Commissioners can think in terms of the \$1,000,000 for capital improvements again. That would cover the items discussed, and the highest priority could be chosen. He would hate to raise taxes further just because a little more money would be needed. The City should live with the revenues coming in basically; but he is not opposed to looking for additional revenue to be put into a fund for a public safety building in the future. Commissioner Sargent would be willing to

consider the possibility of raising other taxes and segregating the transfer tax to be used for long range capital improvements.

Commissioner Zellers felt that in looking for additional revenue sources, the dependency on transfer taxes should be lessened even more, so if there is a good transfer tax year then that could be put in a budget for contingencies, capital improvements or special projects. Other revenue sources should be looked at. Perhaps the Commissioners could levy a special assessment tax for a special project or special districts.

Commissioner Mills said that the five-year capital improvement program is underway, but he did not know when it will be done. It will give the Commissioners an idea of realistic five-year capital improvements. The first five-year capital improvement program was more of a wish list.

Commissioner Kathy McGuinness said that if a five-year capital improvement program would be done and the transfer tax revenue would be put away as bonus money for future projects, the County could not come back and say that it the money needs to be reallocated elsewhere. She did not mind if taxes need to be raised, looking at business licenses and adding an extra fee, or touching on areas that have not been looked at in awhile just to bring things up to speed. Commissioner McGuinness liked the idea of earmarking some of the money, maybe to beautify some of the other streets that might need a little help; but she agreed with Main Street's philosophy about not targeting one industry.

Mayor Cooper said that typically the lodging industry is targeted because the locals do not pay the tax. The people who reside here do not pay a lodging tax, but people who visit here pay it. People who come to visit put the demand on services. Commissioner McGuinness said that to make it fair across the board, there could be a small tax increase for the local property owners.

Commissioner Mills said that the meters and the hotel accommodations tax or the existing rental tax targets those people who are using the services. It is important to emphasize the point that if there is a reduction in the transfer tax, it will need to be made up somewhere else. There are only 13 categories of revenue sources: 1. Contributions. 2. Restricted revenue. 3. Miscellaneous revenue. 4. Grants restricted revenue. 5. Refuse, water and wastewater revenue surcharge. 6. Refuse revenue. 7. Water revenue. 8. Wastewater revenue. 9. Police and alderman's fines 10. Interest in rents. 11. Licenses and permits. 12. Property taxes. 13. Parking revenue. The Commissioners could choose to raise the last five categories, but parking is the number one revenue.

Commissioner McGuinness said that expenses can be cut. When someone gets a business license from the City, a free parking pass is issued; but when someone buys a parking pass, it costs \$200.00. In some areas fees need to be increased, but there are also ways to cut expenses. It is a nice gesture, but the City should not lose money. The fees should be increased across the board. A \$200.00 parking permit should not be given to a realtor who is only paying \$80.00 for his/her license. City Manager Gregory Ferrese said that this was the agreement which everyone reached when they implemented the parking permit system.

Mayor Cooper said that the rental taxes which exist today was instituted for capital improvements. When Streetscape was started, there was \$2,000,000.00 set aside from that account. This Board elected to borrow \$6,000,000.00, and all that money which was set aside is going toward retirement of that debt. If the Commissioners are going to accelerate expenditures, then there should also be austerity. Once the debt is paid off, then there is \$700,000.00 per year which is available for other things.

Commissioner Coluzzi commented that the cost of giving the \$200.00 parking permit is free, and it may make more sense to raise the real estate license fees to \$200.00. Revenue would be coming in, and a parking permit would still be issued.

Commissioner Sargent did not think that it is fair to the realtor who does not need the parking permit. Allow the property owners to buy two permits, and perhaps have them discounted. Parking permits should not be given out; they should be sold to those who truly need them; and that would be increased revenue. He did not have a problem with a resident of the City getting a sticker for the car; but the portable permits are given out in addition to that, and those are the ones which revenue is being lost. Those are the ones which should the car Mayor Cooper thought it would be better to raise property taxes. He would not vote to take parking permits away from people. Even if there is a processing fee, it would be a minimal amount. Commissioner Sargent commented that the Commissioners should look at the possibility of raising the rental tax, adding a rental tax to the lodging tax at the hotels, adding a rental tax to commercial businesses or have metered parking year-round to generate substantial revenue. The easiest change to make is to raise the rental tax from 3% to 5%. He had mixed emotions about adding a rental tax to commercial businesses because a lot of people have long-term leases. Another easy change to make is to a rental tax to the lodging tax at the hotels. Any tourist who comes into the City should pay the rental tax at the level it would be. Commissioner Sargent would be happy if the

Commissioners would focus on raising the rental tax and adding a rental tax to the lodging tax at the hotels.

Commissioner Mills thought that revenue should be sought from all the users of the City's services, and he is not averse to increasing the property taxes, possibly incrementally. Commissioner Mills was not optimistic that there is a large number of scofflaws who are not paying the rental tax. He suggested that a list of all the rental licenses should be placed on the City website, and a note could be mailed out to people who are suspected of not paying the rental licenses. The gross receipts tax is 3% for private and public lodging facilities and housing accommodations including rooms, rooming houses, boarding houses, bed & breakfast inns, cottages, cabins, houses and apartments. It should be researched if the bed & breakfast inns with four rooms or less are paying 8% to the State. Commissioner Sargent noted that Mr. Ferrese is following up on people who fall into the scofflaw category.

Commissioner Sargent said that the Commissioners should think in terms of living within the revenue which is currently being received, but increasing some significant taxes to handle the long range problems. Commissioner Sargent drew opposition to this idea. Commissioner Mills thought that revenue streams should be increased. He would agree to the Commissioners coming to a consensus for reducing the amount of transfer tax in the budget and using the overage to be earmarked for the capital improvement program. He would like for monies to be put back into the Water Department budget for the addition that it needs and for an emergency relief center/public works maintenance garage. If those things are earmarked, then discipline needs to be developed to leave them alone.

Commissioner Sargent said that when the Commissioners get into the budget process, they can look at the smaller issues. Commissioner Sargent asked if the Commissioners want to have a major increase which plans for the future, such as the public safety building which he thought to be the highest priority. He would be willing to increase the rental tax and add the rental tax to the lodging tax; but he was uncomfortable with adding a rental tax to the commercial businesses. In theory, a landlord should be getting the maximum rental that the market will bear, so when the tax is put on the rental, it should only affect the landlord. The problem will be that the landlord would pass the tax on to the business owner. There should be a balance between the tourists taking on the burden, and the taxpayers paying an increase in property taxes, so there will be equity. Commissioner McGuinness suggested that there should be a property tax increase across the board.

Commissioner Mills said the Commissioners need to be mindful of the timeframe of which certain things can be deferred. In previous conversations specific to rental tax, hotel lodging tax and gross receipt tax for commercial, all were predicated on timely and sufficient notice to those entities. The Commissioners are approaching the time when it would be best to do those things to be deferred to another year.

Ms. Joanne Bacher of Jack Lingo, said that processing fees and rental taxes are charged. It will impact business in the future if there are increases. Leases are sent out in mid-October. The Commissioners will need to let people know by Fall if there will be increases. The way the tax is written, as she understands it, is that it is the responsibility of the landlord to pay it. Real estate companies bear all the administrative burden of collecting the tax and holding it in escrow. If the tax is increased, it will impact Jack Lingo's business. Commissioner Mills said the Code states that the person receiving the rent pays the tax or a designated agent.

Ms. Michelle Esposito of Prudential Gallo said that she does not have one landlord who has asked to pay the rental tax. All the landlords pass the tax onto the guests. Rentals are the main industry of Rehoboth which bring the tourists in; and if fees are raised, those tenants will be lost to other areas. Prudential Gallo will start executing leases for 2011 as of October 1, 2010; and it will need to know prior to that date, possibly September 1, 2010, if the decision of the Board of Commissioners is to increase the tax.

Mr. Alex Moore of Avenue Inn and Beachview Motel argued against the fact that people stay in hotels because they have parking and do not do anything for towns. It is the complete opposite. Those people do not generate revenue for the parking meters, but they eat all three of their meals in restaurants which all of the homeowners utilize. Mr. Moore was comfortable with an increase in property taxes because no one in the City will say that the property taxes are too high. The residents should pay their fair share. Mayor Cooper said that eating meals in restaurants does not generate money for the City to put lifeguards on the beach, summer police officers, etc. If the economy of the City is going to be expanded, the City has to be able to tap into that to increase its services to handle the increase in the number of people.

Commissioner Coluzzi said that not everyone on the Board is against raising property taxes.

Commissioner Zellers said that the City is providing extra services to the tourists, which is why they are coming to the City. The City has to pay for extra services somehow. The City cannot always depend on the

transfer tax as a revenue source.

Commissioner Mills noted that he was one of a few during the budget sessions who entertained increasing property taxes. The rental tax can be increased incrementally by 1% each year.

Ms. Sharon Palmer of Coldwell Banker said that if everybody pays a little, nobody has to pay a lot; and the whole picture needs to be looked at. So much money is being lost with parking. Too many homeowners' parking permits are being given out and the transferrable permits are everywhere. There is an opportunity to generate revenue by extending the parking meters into the shoulder season.

Mr. Hoyte Decker, Laurel Street, attended the Rehoboth Beach/Dewey Beach Chamber of Commerce Downtown Advisory Committee's meeting on May 17, 2010. The City's current revenue sources were reviewed and discussed, and suggestions were made regarding potential increases and/or sources of revenue. Mr. Decker supports a property tax increase. Decisions need to be made now, and budget meetings should be held during the course of the year. He wants to know what services are being cut back, the dollar amounts that are being reduced in the budget, the decisions being made by the City administrator, and what the impact is on him.

Mr. Steve Alexander, realtor from the Bethany area, voiced concern in regard to the rental tax. The rental tax, like the transfer tax is not a solid number. The City knows what revenue is generated with property taxes. The rental tax will potentially be as flexible as the transfer tax. Regarding scofflaws, the City as a municipality will have a hard time proving what revenue a landlord is holding.

Ms. Palmer said that the year-round residents have friends and family members who use the services of the beach, boardwalk, trash, etc. A lot of her rental houses are full for three to four months out of the year and sit empty other than the owners coming occasionally. There is no balance between the year-round residents being less responsible than the tenants who are already paying the rental tax to accommodate their payment for those services where the year-round resident has friends and family members coming all year round and using the facilities and there is no payment for those services. The rental landlord pays the property taxes, and the year-round resident pays the same property taxes so there is an imbalance. Commissioner Sargent said that the Commissioners need to find a fair balance between what the property owners pay, including commercial property, and what the visitors who come into the City pay to use parking, the beach, etc.

Commissioner Sargent that the Commissioners should be making a decision on the rental tax, addition to the hotel tax and the property tax in July and not putting it off until September. Everything else should be properly entered in the budget process.

Commissioner Mills suggested that this discussion should be continued at the next Workshop Meeting and could possibly coincide with the 5-year capital improvement plan. The Commissioners' next priority is identifying the City's needs.

Mayor Cooper called to discuss proposed sign ordinance changes.

Mayor Cooper and Commissioner Mills found items in the May 18, 2010 revised changes that need clarification. Those items are:

1. Section 270-66(C)(2). "However, no sign in a commercial district shall exceed 100 square feet on a side" should be changed to "[H]owever, no sign in a commercial district shall exceed 100 square feet on a face".
2. Section 270-66(E)(1). Wall signs. "Wall signs shall be lower than the top of the lowest roofline or the top of a false front, and shall be lower than 25 feet. Wall signs shall not exceed four inches in thickness. Individually lighted letters, logos, and design elements may extend up to 12 inches from a wall sign" should be changed to "[W]all signs shall be lower than 25 feet and may not extend beyond the limits of the wall. Wall signs shall not exceed four inches in thickness. Individually lighted letters, logos, and design elements may extend up to 12 inches from a wall sign".
3. Section 270-66(E)(2)(b). "This sign shall be lower than the eave of the building closest to the sign, shall be no more than three feet long on its longest side, shall be no more than two-sided, and shall be no larger than 4 1/2 square feet on each side, except that a group of stores with vehicular access from Delaware Route 1 shall be no larger than 50 square feet on each side" should be changed to "[T]his sign shall be lower than the eave of the building closest to the sign, shall be no more than three feet long on its longest side, shall have no more than two faces, and shall be no larger than 4 1/2 square feet on each face, except that a group of stores with vehicular access from Delaware Route 1 may have a sign no larger than 50 square feet on each face, no more than 30 feet tall, and no more than 10 feet long

- on its longest side”.
3. Section 270-66(E)(2)(c). Directory signs. Directory signs shall be permitted in front of a structure occupied by more than one licensed business or church. Directory signs shall be no more than four square feet in size and no taller than seven feet. Directory signs shall be parallel to the building occupied by the business advertised. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this article, where there is a front yard or courtyard in front of the building occupied by the business, directory signs may be perpendicular to the building occupied by the business. A directory sign shall not count against the area calculation or the allowable number of signs permitted per business” should be changed to “[D]irectory signs shall be permitted in front of a structure occupied by a church or more than one licensed business. Directory signs shall be no more than four square feet in size and no taller than seven feet. Directory signs shall be parallel to the building, except that where there is a front yard or courtyard in front of the building to which they pertain, directory signs may be perpendicular to the building. A directory sign shall not count against the area calculation or the allowable number of signs permitted per business”.
  4. Section 270-66(E)(5)(b). “During a renovation or extended closure of a building, 100% of the windows may be covered from the inside with plain brown paper or colored fabric, and one professionally lettered sign not larger than four square feet shall be permitted in each window” should be changed to “[D]uring a renovation or extended closure of a building, windows may be covered from the inside with paper or fabric, leaving an area on the main entrance sufficiently open for viewing the interior of the facility”.
  5. Section 270-66(E)(8). Temporary business signs. Delete “Temporary business signs shall be permitted to remain for a period up to 120 days”.
  6. Section 270-66(E)(8)(a). Temporary public service signs. “Each business shall be allowed to display up to three square feet of public service signs in the bottom half of its primary entry door or in the window closest to the primary entry door. Signs may not advertise events more than 60 days prior to the event and must be taken down within seven days after the completion of the event” should be changed to “[E]ach business shall be allowed to display up to three square feet of public service signs in the bottom half of its primary entry door or in the bottom left or bottom right corner of a window. Signs may not advertise events more than 60 days prior to the event and must be removed within seven days after the completion of the event”.
  7. Section 270-66(E)(8)(b). Temporary banners. “Temporary banners are prohibited on business facades, except that temporary banners shall be permitted for a new business for up to eight weeks while the new business is awaiting permanent signage” should be changed to “[T]emporary banners are prohibited on business facades, except that temporary banners shall be permitted for a new business for up to 60 days while the new business is awaiting permanent signage”.
  8. Section 270-66(E)(8)(c). Changeable-copy signs. “Internally lit changeable-copy signs are prohibited, except that hotels shall be permitted to use changeable-copy signs for the purpose of announcing vacancies and special event in the bottom left or bottom right corner of a window. Chalkboards shall not be considered changeable-copy signs” should be changed to “[I]nternally lit changeable-copy signs are prohibited, except that hotels shall be permitted to use changeable-copy signs for the purpose of announcing vacancies and special events. Chalkboards shall not be considered changeable-copy signs.
  9. Section 270-66(E)(8)(d). Freestanding signs. “Each side of a freestanding sign shall count as one of the allowable signs per business” should be changed to “[E]ach face of a freestanding sign shall count as one of the allowable signs per business”.
  10. Section 270-66(E)(8)(f). Real estate signs. “For sale and lease real estate signs shall be parallel to the street and within one foot of the designated structure. Real estate signs shall be placed parallel or perpendicular to the street” should be changed to “[F]or lease real estate signs shall be parallel to the street and within one foot of the designated structure. For sale real estate signs shall be placed parallel or perpendicular to the street”.
  11. Section 270-66(E)(8)(g). Construction signs. “Any glazing used in the construction or makeup of this sign shall be tempered or safety glass” should be added.
  12. Section 270-66(E)(8)(h). Yard sale signs. This provision should remain as is and be inserted into Section 270-58 as subsection (g).
  13. Section 270-66(E)(10). “Perpendicular signs. Businesses may have one perpendicular sign on the side of a building where a sign is permitted. A perpendicular sign may not exceed three feet in width and a maximum of 4 1/2 square feet on each side. The inboard side of a perpendicular sign may not be more than 18 inches from the attaching wall. The lowest point of a perpendicular sign and its supporting structure that extends over a public or private sidewalk or other pedestrian way by more than four inches must be at least eight feet above the surface below, and no portion of a perpendicular sign may

- extend over any surface designated for vehicular traffic. The highest point of a perpendicular sign and its supporting structure may not be more than 15 feet above the surface below. Perpendicular signs must be in a fixed position and may not include internal lighting. Perpendicular signs may not interfere with pedestrian visibility, as determined by the Building Official. Perpendicular signs are not permitted for any business with its primary entrance on the Boardwalk” should be changed to “[P]rojecting signs. Businesses may have one projecting sign on the side of a building where a sign is permitted. A projecting sign may not exceed three feet in width and 4 1/2 square feet on each face. The inboard side of a projecting sign may not be more than 18 inches from the attaching wall. The lowest point of a projecting sign and its supporting structure that extends over a public or private sidewalk or other pedestrian way by more than four inches must be at least eight feet above the surface below, and no portion of a projecting sign may extend over any surface designated for vehicular traffic. The highest point of a projecting sign and its supporting structure may not be more than 15 feet above the surface below. Projecting signs must be in a fixed position and may not include internal lighting. Projecting signs may not interfere with pedestrian visibility, as determined by the Building Official. Projecting signs are not permitted for any business with its primary entrance on the Boardwalk”.
14. Section 270-66(F). Boardwalk signs. Delete this subsection in its entirety.
  15. Section 270-68(B). City Solicitor Glenn Mandalas will wordsmith this subsection for clarity.

Commissioner Mills asked why the real estate signs would need to have stickers and what the process would be. Building Inspector Terri Sullivan said that the Code calls out a fee for a real estate sign. The signs come and go, and without a sticker system, she has no way of knowing if a permit has been issued for a particular sign. Mayor Cooper said that this is something which needs to be addressed, and the current Code is probably not adequate. The realtors need to file something with the City to start the clock. The idea of the \$10.00 fee was to create a mechanism to start the clock. Commissioner Mills thought that the frame-stake real estate signs should be exempt, and he suggested that this topic should be discussed at a future meeting.

City Solicitor Mandalas will submit another draft as a resolution for the June 18, 2010 Regular Meeting.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

Mayor Cooper called to discuss a draft new lease agreement for Lot 22 Rehoboth Avenue which lot is owned by the City and leased to the Blanche Rodgers Trust and which current lease between the parties expires on December 31, 2010.

Mayor Cooper received the lease late last week and hoped to have a draft lease agreement in the near future. This item will be placed on a future agenda.

Mayor Cooper called for the report on the State PLUS review meeting held on May 26, 2010 where the City's Comprehensive Development Plan was reviewed.

Mr. Timothy Spies, Mr. David Mellen and Mayor Cooper met with Mr. Bryan Hall of the Office of State Planning Coordination and representatives from a number of other agencies. At this time, there is nothing standing in the way of the State approving the CDP except that the various agencies had until today to submit their comments to the Office of State Planning Coordination. The Office of State Planning Coordination will draft a letter to the City detailing those comments and recommendations. Then the City will need to provide a response to the Office of State Planning, and the CDP can be approved. Once the letter is received, it will be forwarded and the Commissioners will have a discussion regarding the comments and recommendation which were made.

## **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

(See attached report.)

City Manager Ferrese reported that Mayor Cooper, Commissioner Coluzzi and he will be attending the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Workshop in Dover on June 8, 2010. The Workshop pertains to the competitive grant program, and the City is eligible to submit grants due to the fact that it has completed the required energy audit. No authorization has been received from the State to advertise the Solar Panels Project for 306 Rehoboth Avenue. Sealed bids will be opened on June 8, 2010 for patching at the Wastewater Treatment Plant. The City has budgeted \$25,000.00 for this project. Police negotiations will be held on June 10, 2010. A progress meeting will be held pertaining to the Museum on June 15, 2010. The parking meter revenue for Memorial Day Weekend was \$91,076.00, and for the same weekend last year it was \$92,287.00. The transfer tax for May 2010

was \$202,328.00, and for the same month last year it was \$100,815.00. The City will be receiving approximately \$90,000.00 in Street Aid monies which had not been put in the budget.

### **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

Commissioner Mills, Boardwalk Committee, provided an update on the Committee activity and Phase 2 of the Board Reconstruction Project. There has not been any recent Committee activity. The contractor is finishing up some street ends with asphaltting, etc. The second bike platform at the end of Maryland Avenue will be started today. The ribbon cutting ceremony is scheduled for June 11 at 2:00 p.m, at the Boardwalk and end of Rehoboth Avenue. The public is invited to attend. The north side of Baltimore Avenue to the Boardwalk meets ADA compliance. The south side of Baltimore Avenue to the Boardwalk is not ADA compliant. The south side of Maryland Avenue was built out of ADA compliance, but it will be torn up and rebuilt to compliance. In regard to handicapped access to the beach, there are two ADA compliant ramps. One is opposite the Boardwalk Plaza Hotel near Maryland Avenue, and it has a gentler slope, and the other is opposite the Star of the Sea at Laurel Street. Those accesses were originally designed for beach wheels. The City has received a grant and is in the process of purchasing a mobi mat which lays on top of the sand and will allow people in wheelchairs and walkers to access the beach. More bike racks will be put out into the sand area. Those bike racks will be monitored to see how much they are being used.

### **CITY SOLICITOR'S REPORT**

City Solicitor Mandalas reported that Mr. Tim Willard, attorney for the Cape Henlopen School Board has confirmed it is fine for the City to represent that the ordinance is the product of good faith discussions and both parties are amenable to the rezoning of the school property. A copy of the proposed ordinance will be forwarded to the Commissioners today for their review. Mayor Cooper said that the resolution will be relatively easy to draft. The consensus of the Commissioners was to adopt the resolution to set the public hearing on June 18, 2010.

### **COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS/COMMENTS**

Commissioner Coluzzi commented that it has been brought to her attention that there are problems at the circle sometimes with people not paying attention to the signs. A suggestion was made to change the yield to traffic in circle signs to both lanes should yield to traffic in circle. She recommended that this topic should be discussed at the next Workshop Meeting, and she will meet with the Police Chief in regard to possible policing of the traffic circle.

### **DISCUSS ITEMS TO INCLUDE ON FUTURE AGENDAS.**

Topic to be included on a future agenda is yield signs in the circle.

The Mayor and Commissioners Regular Meeting will be held on Friday, June 18, 2010 at 7:00 p.m.

There being no further business, Mayor Cooper declared the meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

**(Kathy McGuinness, Secretary)**